

**APPROVED**

DATE: 11/15/16  
SIGNED: 

**Old and Historic Marblehead Districts Commission**  
c/o Engineering Dept., 7 Widger Road  
Marblehead, Massachusetts 01945  
(781) 631-1529

Charles Hibbard-Chairman, Sally Sands-Member, Liz Mitchell-Member,  
Gary Amberik-Secretary, Anthony Sasso- Member

**Minutes for October 4, 2016**

Present constituting a quorum: Sally Sands, Charles Hibbard, Liz Mitchell, Anthony Sasso, Gary Amberik

**1. 195 Washington Street**

**Michael Irving**

**This is a Public Hearing for:**

- Fence, granite post, rail

**Issues discussed include:**

- Applicant did not appear as scheduled

**It was moved, seconded, and voted (Vote 5-0) to deny a Certificate of Appropriateness for reason(s) noted below: *Applicant did not appear at scheduled hearing and application was incomplete in that it was missing a current property survey stamped by a registered Massachusetts surveyor.***

**2. 84 Washington Street**

**Theodore Johnson**

**This is a new application for:**

- Vent Cap

**Issues discussed include:**

- Applicant requested to withdraw application to be revised and submitted at a later date

**3. 100 Elm Street**

**Blair Nelson**

**This is a new application for:**

- Revise COA #399, granted 5/17/2016

**Issues discussed include:**

- Sally Sands was recused from hearing
- Applicant proposes to make revisions to a previously approved COA
- Applicant requests to add one additional window at the first floor, one new window at the second floor rear elevation, and one added basement window

- Applicant requests to add a new bulkhead at rear southeast corner and remove proposed skylight at rear roof

**It was moved, seconded, and voted (4-0) that no estates are materially affected and to grant (Vote 4-0) a Certificate of Appropriateness for all work as proposed.**

**4. 16 Waldron Street**

**Sarah Reiley**

**This is a new application for:**

- Re-shingle house

**Issues discussed include:**

- Applicant proposes to replace existing wood asphalt shingles with new architectural asphalt shingles

**It was moved, seconded, and voted (5-0) that no estates are materially affected and to grant (Vote 5-0) a Certificate of Appropriateness for all work as proposed.**

**5. 74 Washington Street**

**Rich Cooper**

**This is a new application for:**

- Roof

**Issues discussed include:**

- Applicant proposes to remove existing roof and replace with new architectural asphalt shingles with ridge vent

**It was moved, seconded, and voted (5-0) that no estates are materially affected and to Grant a Certificate of Appropriateness for all work as proposed (Vote 5-0).**

**6. 14 Summer Street**

**Pam Derringer**

**This is a new application for:**

- Resurface driveway

**Issues discussed include:**

- Applicant proposes to remove and replace existing asphalt driveway in like kind

**It was moved, seconded, and voted (5-0) that no estates are materially affected and to grant (Vote 5-0) a Certificate of Appropriateness for all work as proposed.**

**7. 183 Washington Street**

**Rosemary Collins**

**This is a new application for:**

- Replace clapboards

**Issues discussed include:**

- Applicant proposes to replace existing clapboards at rear of house in like kind

**It was moved, seconded, and voted (5-0) that no estates are materially affected and to Grant a Certificate of Appropriateness for all work as proposed (Vote 5-0).**

**8. 187 Washington Street  
David and Julia Soule**

**This is a new application for:**

- Roof

**Issues discussed include:**

- Applicant proposes to replace existing asphalt roof shingles with new architectural asphalt shingles

**It was moved, seconded, and voted (5-0) that no estates are materially affected and to Grant a Certificate of Appropriateness for all work as proposed (Vote 5-0).**

**OTHER MINUTES TAKEN:**

**62 Pleasant Street, Philanthropic Lodge**

- 1. Following the first application, the commission stated they expected the applicant to return to discuss other windows that the applicant expressed an interest in replacing.**
- 2. The attorney became involved after the COA was issued. The attorney stated there is a lot of public use. The attorney stated that in. window trim cannot be preserved if windows are replaced**
- 3. The applicant stated they eventually plan to replace all windows**
- 4. The commission agreed that an application for all windows would be best**
- 5. The Chair expressed the opinion that side windows in the original structure are just as historically valuable as front windows**
- 6. The applicant noted that including the side windows would increase the number of replacements beyond their means, on account of having to remove and replace the interior trim because the new wood windows are larger than the existing windows**
- 7. The commission suggested the applicant contact a VT window manufacturer about wood windows in custom sizes that would preclude removal of interior trim**
- 8. The applicant agreed to explore this approach and to prepare a new application to replace all windows with a combination of all wood and aluminum-clad wood windows.**